



Item 8.2

QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON FRIDAY 18 FEBRUARY 2022 CHAIR'S UPDATE REPORT

INTRODUCTION

This is the Chair's report to the Clinical Commissioning Group Board following the meeting of the Quality and Performance Committee held on 18 February 2022.

ITEM 6 - QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE REPORT

Financial Management

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG process for financial management: Established systems and processes for financial management that are verified by internal and external audit.	High
PERFORMANCE	
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG reported financial performance: All statutory targets planned to be achieved. Track record of performance.	High

Hull University Teaching Hospitals – A&E 4 hour waiting times

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	Low
Ongoing underperformance.	

Hull University Teaching Hospitals - Referral to Treatment waiting times

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	Low
Ongoing underperformance.	

Hull University Teaching Hospitals - Diagnostics Waiting Times

Trail Offiversity reacting riospitals - Diagnostics Waiting Times	
PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	Low
Ongoing underperformance.	

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	Low

Hull University Teaching Hospitals – 62-day Cancer Waiting Times

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	HIGH
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	LOW
Ongoing underperformance.	

Humber Foundation Trust – Waiting Times (all services)

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	HIGH
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target	LOW
Ongoing underperformance.	

City Health Care Partnership – Improved Access to Psychological Therapies waiting times

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target.	Low

Yorkshire Ambulance Service – Ambulance Handover Times

PROCESS	RATING
There is a HIGH level of confidence in the CCG processes for reporting the	High
performance against this target	
Established systems and processes for reporting performance information.	
PERFORMANCE	
There is a LOW level of confidence in the achievement of this target.	Low

ITEM 7 - EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY REPORT

PROCESS	RATING
The CCG has a system in place to capture progress against the EDI outcomes.	High
PERFORMANCE	
Whilst good progress has been made against the majority of the outcomes, work will continue during 2022.	Medium

ITEM 8 - DRAFT ANNUAL EQUALITY INFORMATION REPORT 2021/22

PROCESS	RATING
The CCG has a system in place to capture progress against the EDI outcomes.	High

PERFORMANCE	
Good progress has been made against the majority of the outcomes, there is still	Medium
some further work to do and it is suggested that this should be reflected in the refresh	
of any delivery plans as the CCG moves into ICB transition phase.	

ITEM 9 - SERIOUS INCIDENTS REPORT Q3

PROCESS	RATING
That NHS Hull CCG has an effective management process in place for SIs with its	High
main providers. Significant level of assurance was obtained following an internal audit	
undertaken in August 2019.	
PERFORMANCE	
Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust:	Low
A low level of assurance is given as there are concerns with this provider in the following	
areas:	
 Diagnostics whereby reoccurring themes are evident including, failure to act on abnormal results / failure or delay to follow-up. 	
 Maternity – some evidence of recurring themes and 11 serious incidents reported year to date. 	
The number of unexpected / potentially avoidable deaths within the area of ED.	
The number of falls related serious incidents	
The current volume of serious incident requiring investigation	
The Trust is currently in a period of Enhanced Surveillance following Quality and Risk profiling.	
Humber NHS Foundation Trust:	Medium
A medium level of assurance is given as thematic learning issues continue to be	
identified in a proportion of the Trusts investigation reports.	
City Health Care Partnership (CHCP):	High
A high level of assurance is given, the provider is reporting a broader range of	
incidents and undertaking multi-agency investigations where appropriate.	
Spire Hull and East Riding: A high level of assurance is given as the provider positively	High
engages with the CCG for advice prior to declaring and investigations have been robust.	
Hull CCG: that a high level of confidence exists given that appropriate SIs are identified	High
and reported as SIs where appropriate.	

ITEM 10 - BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (BAF)

PROCESS	RATING
There was a high level of confidence in the Hull CCG BAF process, in that the BAF is	High
regularly monitored, reviewed and updated.	

ITEM 11 - LEDER UPDATE REPORT Q1 AND Q2

PROCESS	RATING
There was a high level of confidence around robust systems and processes in place	High
for the management of Learning Disability Mortality reviews.	

ITEM 12 - OUT OF AREA PLACEMENTS 6 MONTHLY REVISED REPORT

PROCESS	RATING
Process to monitor and manage individual placements	Medium
Process to track financial allocations and invoices	Medium
Process to fairly apportion financial responsibility between commissioners	Medium

Process to positively interface with NHSE regarding shared responsibilities	Medium
PERFORMANCE	
Ability to respond to any raised concerns regarding the quality or safety of these care	High
packages	

ITEM 13 - TRANSFORMING CARE PLAN Q1 & Q2 REPORT

PROCESS	RATING
There was a high level of confidence in the process.	High
PERFORMANCE	
A medium level of assurance was given around performance due to trajectories not	Medium
met.	

James Moult

Chair of the Quality and Performance Committee

29 April 2022